

they served in higher numbers as a percentage of their population than almost anyone in this country—most of them, many of them came back to their reservations to find there was a crisis in health care, housing, and education.

My colleague, Senator DASCHLE, offers an amendment dealing with health care. This is not just about veterans. It is about children. It is about retired folks. If you tour these Indian reservations and take a look at what the Indian Health Service is doing, what the public health system is doing, we have some wonderful men and women working very hard, long hours, doing the best they can, but the resources don't exist to provide the kind of health care for these children and these citizens as exists in the rest of the country. It is just plain fact.

The Indian Health Service has a budget of about \$2.5 billion. The analysis is they need about \$12 billion more. Of course, that is not going to happen. This is not some academic debate. This is not about theory. This is about people living and dying. This is about life or death decisions for a lot of people, especially the more vulnerable in that population. I am talking about children.

You want to hear stories about children who die because of chicken pox. We can talk about that on some of these reservations. You don't hear that much anymore, people dying of chicken pox.

The primary health services that are available to American Indians on reservations are inadequate. I mentioned yesterday 5,000 people getting their dental care from one dentist in a small trailer. That is not health care. It doesn't meet the needs of those people on that reservation.

My colleague, Senator DASCHLE, offers an amendment to try to find some additional resources for clinical services. I support that.

Senator BURNS missed what I said about this bill. I said, Senator BURNS has Indian reservations in Montana and cares a lot about these issues. We have done as well as we could given the allocation in this bill. I wish we could do more.

I support this amendment because it will do more. I recognize the offset comes from outside the bill, and there is some difficulty with that. I think when you are talking about issues of life and death, we need to make fit the solution that is necessary to provide the health care needed, particularly by these children but also people who are more vulnerable.

Go to an Indian reservation, for example, and talk to people about diabetes. You will discover the rate of diabetes on, for example, the Fort Berthold Reservation is not double or triple or quadruple the rate of diabetes in this country. It is 12 times the rate of diabetes, 12 times the rate of the American population.

One day I flew into New Town, ND, with the late Congressman Mickey Le-

land and former Congressman Tim Penny. We held a hearing on the Fort Berthold Reservation. We had a range of people talk to us about the diabetes epidemic. Go to that reservation today and see the rows of people doing renal dialysis to stay alive, go to the diabetes clinic—which I got funding for—and see what they are doing to try to deal with this scourge called the diabetes epidemic.

There are so many challenges that need to be met and so few resources. That is why I fully support this amendment.

When I walked in the Chamber, my colleague from Montana was speaking of forest fires. North Dakota is a State that is ranked 50th among the 50 States in native forest lands. We are a wonderful State. We cherish the trees we have. But we rank 50th among the 50 States. We are not affected much by forest fires. We do have some range fires on the grasslands. The forest fires, of the type my colleague and his constituents face, or the forest fires we have read about in Colorado and Arizona and other areas, are devastating events. The fact is, we know these events occur. This is not some tsunami or typhoon that occurs once every 5 or 10 years. We know these events occur.

As my colleague said, we ought to provide for the payment for fire suppression and firefighting in the budgets that we put together. The President ought to do it. He ought to request it, and we ought to fund it. It doesn't make sense for us to pretend we are surprised when there is a forest fire. We must be the only people surprised. Forest fires happen. There is no reason to continue having budgets come down from the President that say, let's not adequately fund this so that we can borrow money from this, that, and the other place. Then we pretend we are shocked when a fire comes around and we have to pay for it. Then we try to do some emergency fix someplace. That doesn't make sense to me.

Forest fires are devastating events. We know they are going to happen. We should provide funding for fire suppression activities. Hundreds of millions of dollars ought to be in these budgets. My colleague from Montana and I are determined to try to make sense of this and work with the White House and others to do the right thing. I echo his comments about the urgency of doing that.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. BURNS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate

proceed to a period of morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

JUDICIAL NOMINATIONS

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, today, we vote to confirm 5 district court nominees, including 4 nominees to the U.S. district courts in New York.

With today's confirmations, the Senate will now have confirmed 151 judicial nominees for this President. This stands in stark contrast to what occurred with judicial nominees during the Clinton administration. More than 3 years passed during President Clinton's second term, when Republicans controlled the Senate, before the 150th judicial nominee was confirmed. It also took more than three years from when the Republicans gained control of the Senate majority in 1995 to confirm 150 judges for President Clinton.

Moreover, this President's nominees have been considered more expeditiously than were his father's or President Reagan's. It took President Reagan, during his first term, almost to the end of his fourth year to get 150 of his judicial nominees confirmed, and that was with a Senate that was controlled by the same party. President Reagan's 150th judicial nominee was not confirmed until September 17, 1984. It also took President George H.W. Bush well into his fourth year to get 150 of his judicial nominees confirmed. His 150th judicial nominee was not confirmed until April 8, 1992.

In contrast with the shifts in Senate control, it has effectively taken just 2 years of rapid Senate action to confirm 150 judicial nominees for this President. The fast and fair pace at which this Senate has considered this President's nominees is also demonstrated by how many circuit court nominees have been confirmed. We have now confirmed 28 circuit court nominees for President Bush since July of 2001, which is more than were confirmed at this time in the third year of President Reagan's first term, President George H.W. Bush's term, or either of President Clinton's terms.

In contrast to how President Clinton's nominees were treated, the confirmation process for these 5 district court nominees has been expeditious and smooth. The 4 New York nominees come to us with broad bipartisan support, including the support of their two home-state Senators. Their confirmations today show how cooperation can work to fill vacancies on the Federal bench with qualified, experienced and diverse candidates.

All four New York nominees were given hearings at the end of July under an agreement that allowed them to be on a hearing on an expedited basis. This was an example of how the Democratic members of the Judiciary Committee cooperated with the President and with the Committee's Republican